View Loss/Impact Assessment

The general planning principles pertaining to views have been established in *Tenacity Consulting v Warringah Council* [2004] NSWLEC 140 ('Tenacity'). A detailed Visual Impact Assessment Report (dated March 2023) accompanied the amended DA, and has taken into consideration a number of properties that were identified from public submissions, and included in the December 2021 RFI letter.

The conclusions of the report are:

- Views from all 18 dwellings from the original sample of 27 dwellings inspected, have been modelled to inform this assessment.
- The inclusion of the wide view corridor reduces the visual scale of built form along the length of Vicar Street and will create view sharing benefits to all elevated residential locations immediately west, south-west and north-west of the subject site.
- The view corridor successfully promotes reasonable view sharing outcomes, as it allows for the retention of south-easterly views to the majority of Wedding Cake Island from close residential dwellings.
- The view corridor also promotes access to views of open ocean and sea-sky horizon in easterly and north-easterly views that currently enjoy views to it.
- The views are described in terms of the predominant features present where the selected modelled view represents the 'worse case' view focused on the site, noting that each dwelling has access to other views to the north, north-east and south which do not include the subject site and will not be affected.
- 18 views have been modelled and assessed against the Tenacity Planning Principle to guide our assessment of overall view impacts for each whole dwelling.
- All descriptions and ratings are tabulated in the Tenacity Summary Table (Page 74).
- The minor view impact rating is reasonable and acceptable for Brook Street dwellings given that the non-complying parts of the envelope do not block scenic and highly valued features as defined in Tenacity.
- The moderate view impact for 17 Vicar Street is reasonable and acceptable given that it is entirely caused by built form which sits significantly below the LEP height control and is therefore fully compliant with controls that are relevant to view loss. Views to be lost are not considered as scenic or highly valued in Tenacity terms.
- In summary out of 27 dwelling inspections, where potential view loss was modelled for 18 dwellings and rated as minor or less in all cases except for one dwelling.
- The additional height sought in all views, blocks areas of sky or open water so that no additional scenic or highly valued features would be revealed through a further reduction in height.
- In the majority of views analysed (17 out of 18) the overall composition and scenic quality of views will not change significantly as a result of the updated DA envelope.
- The visual effects of the proposed envelope are low, the extent of view loss is minor or less for all but one dwelling, the upper and non-complying parts of the proposed envelope predominately block features that are not scenic or combine to form highly valued views as defined in Tenacity.
- Considering the likely view impacts for the immediate and wider potential visual catchment, based on the 18 representative views analysed, in our opinion the private views and 5 public views, the predominance of low view impacts and ratings, the outcome is reasonable and acceptable.

• View impact ratings for the public domain views are also low. Taking into account all relevant factors and the reasonable sharing of views both for residents, the public and the owners of the subject site, the updated DA can be supported on view sharing and view impact grounds.

Assessment of the Proposed Development (as amended)

The findings and conclusions of the Visual Impact Assessment Report (prepared by Urbis, dated March 2023) are concurred. Council's analysis and independent assessment are provided below, with respect to the planning principle for views as established by *Tenacity:*

Step 1: Assessment of views to be affected:

The extent of views differs between the analysed sites. The views affected include water views, and views towards the headlands (Dolphin Point), Wedding Cake Island and Coogee Beach (foreshore).

Step 2: Consideration of the part of the property the views are obtained:

See Table 1, below.

Step 3: Assessment of the Impact:

See Table 1, below.

Step 4: Reasonableness of the proposal causing the impact:

The dwellings most affected by the proposed development are identified as 17 Vicar Street, being the immediate southern neighbour, and 5/119 Brook Street, which are discussed in Table 1, below.

Table 1: View Analysis

Address	View	Part of	Impact of Proposal	Reasonableness of Impact
		Property View		
		is Experienced		
1/113 Brook Street	Water (horizon)	Living room (standing)	Existing partial view is almost completely	Impact is minor.
Street	(110112011)	(Stantung)	removed, a very minor segment of horizon is retained to the south-east.	The existing horizon view is partial and through vegetation. Compliant components (within 12m height) would completely remove the view. The proposal retains some water view with its southern setback, and is considered reasonable.
19/183	Water	Large balcony	The proposed	Impact is minor/negligible.
Coogee Bay	(horizon)	accessed from	massing removes	
Road	+	living area	some water view,	View loss is considered reasonable
	Wedding	(standing and	but has no impact to	and acceptable, as there is no
	Cake	seated)	the horizon views,	substantial loss of any view
	Island		nor to the views	currently received and the dwelling
	+		toward Wedding	continues to achieve wide and
	Dolphin		Cake Island or	substantial water and tree line
	Point		Dolphin Point.	views.

Assessment Report: DA/437/2021 | Randwick City Council Attachment C: Detailed View Loss Assessment

				Impact is reasonable.
21/183 Coogee Bay	Water (horizon)	Balcony (standing and	The proposed massing removes	Impact is minor/negligible.
Road	+	seated)	some water view,	View loss is considered reasonable
	Wedding	554554,	but has no impact to	and acceptable, as there is no
	Cake		the horizon views,	substantial loss of any view
	Island		nor to the views	currently received and the dwelling
	+		toward Wedding	continues to achieve wide and
	Dolphin		Cake Island or	substantial water and tree line
	Point		Dolphin Point.	views.
				Impact is reasonable.
5/180-186	Water	Balcony and	Partial removal of	Impact is minor/negligible.
Coogee Bay	(horizon)	Kitchen/Living	some water horizon	l
Road	+	(standing and	views, and sky.	View loss is considered reasonable
	Wedding	seated)		and acceptable, as there is no
	Cake		The massing ensures	substantial loss of any view
	Island +		view sharing is achieved and	currently received, and the dwelling continues to achieve wide
	Tree		retained through to	and substantial water and tree line
	canopy		Wedding Cake	views from both the living room
	сапору		Island.	and balcony.
				Impact is reasonable.
1/180-186	Water	Balcony and	Modest removal of	Impact is minor/negligible.
Coogee Bay	(horizon)	Kitchen/Living	water view that is	
	+	(standing and	otherwise affected	Primary view corridor is through
	Tree	seated)	by tree canopy.	Coogee Bay Road (particularly
	canopy			when viewed from living room),
			Most impact is to	which the development does not
			open sky.	affect.
				Impact is reasonable.
17 Vicar	Water	Upper level	The development	Impact is moderate.
Street,	(horizon	living area	completely removes	
Coogee	and	(standing and	the view of the	The development removes some
/ a a the a mus	foreshore)	seated)	foreshore from the	water view, and remove views to
(southern neighbour)	+ Tree		current upper level view	the foreshore (Coogee Beach), however results in a wider view of
neighbour)	canopy		view	the horizon.
	.,		Removal of the	
			existing flat building	The proposed hotel wing and
			on 15A Vicar Street	driveway structure, despite
			and the proposed	removing water views, complies
			increased setback	with both the height and FSR
			provides an	standards for the site (subsequent
			opportunity for a	to subdivision); noting that the
			longer view of the	development lot is affected by the
			water horizon.	heritage context and setting of the Hotel, which dictates the extent of
			The proposed new	new massing.
			hotel wing removes	Ĭ
			the view, however it	Impact is reasonable.
			complies with the	
			maximum building	
			height standard is	

			setback from the	
			southern boundary.	
9/41-43 Carr	Water	Balcony and	The proposed	Impact is minor-moderate.
Street	(horizon)	Living room	development has a	impact is itimot infoderate.
	+	(standing and	negligible impact to	The development removes part of
	Dolphin	seated)	the water views,	the views where the water breaks
	Point		however mostly	upon the headland, however has
	Headlands		removes the view to	no reduction to water (horizon)
			the headland	views.
			adjacent to Dolphin	
			Point; a small	The trees in the foreground affect
			filtered section through the tree	and block the most significant headland views.
			canopy remains.	fleadiafid views.
			canopy remains.	Given the extensive wide water
				views retained, the impact is
				reasonable.
5/14 Kidman	Water	Balcony	The development	Impact is negligible.
Street	(horizon)	(standing and	results in no notable	_
	+	seated)	reduction to water	The development results in no
	Dolphin		(horizon) views, nor	notable reduction to water
	Point		Dolphin Point.	(horizon) views, nor Dolphin Point.
	Headlands			
			The proposed	Given the extensive wide water
			massing achieves a view corridor	views retained, the impact is reasonable.
			through the centre	reasonable.
			of the development	
3/14 Kidman	Water	Balcony	The development	Impact is negligible/minor.
Street	(horizon)	(standing and	results in no notable	. 33,
	+	seated)	reduction to water	View loss is considered reasonable
	Dolphin		(horizon) views, nor	and acceptable, as there is no
	Point		Dolphin Point.	substantial loss of any view
	Headlands			currently received and the dwelling
			The proposed	continues to achieve wide and
			massing achieves a	substantial water and headland
			view corridor through the centre	views.
			of the development.	Given the extensive wide water
			of the development.	views retained, the impact is
				reasonable.
5/119 Brook	Water	Balcony and	The development	Impact is moderate/severe.
Street	(horizon)	bedroom	results in the loss of	
	+	(standing and	much of the water	While the development results in
	Dolphin	seated)	horizon view.	the reduction of views to the water
	Point			(horizon), the development does
	Headlands		However,	provide for increased views to
			removal/demolition	Dolphin Point headland, including
			of the hipped roof	potentially where the water breaks
			to the existing Boutique Hotel	on the lower platforms.
			accomplishes an	
			improvement to the	
			view upon the	
			Dolphin Point	
	1		headland as a result	

				<u>-</u>
			of the proposed	
			development.	Roof form removed will improve headland views On balance, looking at the view impacts more generally there is a
				compromise between the improved headland views and loss of water horizon views, notwithstanding that vegetation growth impedes
				water horizon views.
				Impact is considered reasonable.
Penthouse 57-63 St Paul	Water (horizon)	Balcony (standing and	The development has insignificant	Impact is negligible.
Street	and	seated)	visibility from the	Given the extensive wide water
	sweeping valley		analysed site, with little to no impact to	views retained, the impact is reasonable.
	views,		the view.	reasonable.
	including			
	tree canopy.			
Rooftop 201	Water	Rooftop	The proposed	Impact is negligible.
Coogee Bay	(horizon)	communal	massing achieves a	
Road	+	open space.	view corridor	A view sharing corridor is achieved
	Wedding Cake		through the centre of the development,	by the proposed development.
	Island		retaining views to Wedding Cake Island.	Impact is considered reasonable.
			There is no loss to water (horizon) views.	
16/201 Coogee Bay Road	Water (horizon) + Tree canopy	Kitchen (standing)	Most of the water (horizon) view and tree canopy is unaffected by the proposed development, with	Views are similar when comparing the existing and proposed views and massing from this dwelling.
			only a small triangular section of water view lost where the massing is on the corner of Vicar Street.	Impact is considered reasonable.
			The proposed massing otherwise replaces the existing	

			<u> </u>	T
			Boutique Hotel in	
			terms of width and	
			height with a	
			negligible impact	
			upon the water	
2/120 Due els	\A/a+au	Delegan	views.	lusus st. is us all aible
3/130 Brook	Water	Balcony	The dwelling	Impact is negligible.
Street	(horizon)	(standing)	receives wide views,	Civer the removed/demodition of
	+ Dalahia		with the horizon	Given the removal/demolition of
	Dolphin		unaffected by the	the existing Boutique Hotel, there is
	Point		proposed development, as	an on-balance improvement given that the development provides for
			views are	improved views to Dolphin Point
			predominately to	headlands, including where the
			the existing	water breaks on the lower
			Boutique Hotel.	platforms.
			Boutique Hotel.	piatioinis.
			A small section of	With the extensive wide water
			Dolphin Point is	views largely retained, the impact is
			affected, however	reasonable.
			the view received	
			continues north and	
			includes the	
			headlands of	
			Gordons Bay and	
			Shark Point (which	
			are unaffected).	
5/134 Brook	Water	Balcony	The proposed	Nil impact.
Street	(horizon)		development is not	
	+		visible from this	
	Dolphin		dwelling.	
	Point			
12A/28	Water	Kitchen/Living	A negligible area of	Impact is negligible.
Kidman Street	(horizon)	(standing)	water views are	
			removed as a result	There is no loss of water (horizon
			of the proposed	views) with most of the existing
			development.	water view retained.
			Views are to the	Given the extent of water views
			existing Boutique	retained, the impact is reasonable.
			Hotel, with the	retained, the impact is reasonable.
			proposed massing	
			replacing the	
			existing building	
			with a form that is	
			similar in height.	
15/28 Kidman	Water	Living room	Views are to the	Impact is negligible/minor.
Street	(horizon)	(standing)	existing Boutique	
	+	-	Hotel, with the	There is no loss of water (horizon
	Dolphin		proposed massing	views) with most of the existing
	Point		replacing the	water view retained.
			existing building	
			with a form that is	Given the extent of water views
			similar in height.	retained, the impact is reasonable.

			Views to Dolphin Point (including	
			where the water	
			breaks on the lower	
			platform) are	
			retained.	
22/183	Water	Balcony	Existing views can	Impact is negligible/minor.
Coogee Bay	(horizon)	(standing)	see the distant top	
Road	+		hip and ridge of the	There is no loss of water (horizon
	Wedding		Boutique Hotel and	views) with most of the existing
	Cake		peaks of the tree	water view retained.
	Island		line.	
				Given the wide extent of water
			The proposed	views retained, the impact is
			development sits	reasonable.
			within the valley and	
			does not remove	
			any water view or	
			views to Wedding	
			Cake Island.	

Views from the Public Domain

From a wider perspective (i.e. beyond Coogee Beach itself), views of the development from the principle public domain to the east and north-east (Goldstein's Reserve, Dolphin Point, Rainbow Walkway) consists of:

- Tree line along Arden Street/Coogee Beach and Goldstein Reserve
- Coogee Bay Hotel (item of heritage significance)
- Hipped roof and ridge of the Boutique Hotel
- Spire of St Bridgid's Church
- Crowne Plaza development to the south

Public domain views have been addressed in Section 5.0 of the attached View Impact Assessment Report (prepared by Urbis). The visual impact of the proposed development from Goldstein Reserve, Dolphins Point, Coogee Beach/Rainbow Walkway and the intersection of Mount Street and Coogee Bay Road were requested by Council as part of the RFI, and have been included in the amended View Impact Assessment Report.

The impact resulting from the proposed built form is considered acceptable on the following grounds:

- The tree line (Norfolk Island pines / Araucaria heterophylla) will not be affected by the development, with none of these trees along Arden Street to be removed.
- The Coogee Bay Hotel, being an item of local heritage significance, remains the primary viewpoint from the principal public domain locations identified above. The Hotel remains visible between the Norfolk Island pine trees (as can be seen in Figure 1). The Vicar Street massing to the west of the development site replaces the Boutique Hotel hipped roof, extending further to the east (without detracting from the hipped roof and form of the Coogee Bay Hotel). Further, the break in the massing to accommodate view sharing through the centre of the development contributes to the roofline pattern visible from the public domain.

- The height of the south-western corner does not exceed the roof height (ridge level) of the existing Boutique Hotel, and is therefore commensurate with the existing height of buildings in this part of the site.
- The height of the north-western corner exceeds the existing Vicar Street and Coogee Bay Road building height by approximately 500mm, however, the visual bulk is less pronounced than the existing building given that the additional storeys have been setback from the street frontage with limited and reduced visibility of built form greater than 12.0m from the public domain.
- The extent of the proposed massing above the 12.0m building height standard is compatible
 with the wider valley setting and foreshore character, particularly when the broader view
 incorporates the Crowne Plaza and 6-storey to 8-storey developments further to the south
 along Beach, Arden and Carr Streets; and the existing protrusion of the Boutique Hotel roof
 line.
- The proposed massing that will be viewed from along Coogee Bay Road is consistent with the existing three-storey character. The upper levels that exceed the building height standard are acceptable on the grounds that the bulk viewed is visually recessive by utilising compatible colours and materials (Subject to Recommended Conditions of Consent) that will integrate into the winder view catchment form the public domain (*Planner's Note: Colours, materials and finishes have been subject to discussions during the LEC Proceedings with Council's Heritage and Urban Design Experts*).



Figure 1: Excerpt from View Impact Assessment Report – outline of development viewed from Dolphin Point